SYNERGISTIC EFFECT OF NUMBERS AND ALPHABETS

[1]Bdliya, M. I. PhD, [2]Haliru. U. PhD, [3]JAMES, A. Z.

1Department of English
Federal College of Education, Zaria
08030657425
ibdliya@yahoo.com

[2]Department of English
Federal College of Education, Zaria
08069046189
haliruumaruzaria@gmail.com

[3]Department of English
Federal College of Education, Zaria
08034782013/08023164542
zakujames@gmail.com

Abstract

The research looks at the synergistic effect of numbers and alphabets. Alphanumeric words cut across all human existence and endeavours. Alphanumeric is a vital tool for communication and it is an innovation and not an error or mistake. With the emergence of Computer Mediated Communication (CMC), in the mid 1980's there has been a sporadic research on digital technologies that enables researchers, individuals and group to appreciate the massive usage of alphanumeric characters in our day to day communication. This study explores the synergistic effect of numbers and alphabets in various contexts, including language, communication, technology, and scientific notation. It examines their integration in educational methodologies, data encoding, cryptographic systems, and information processing to uncover the multifaceted benefits. The integration of numbers and letters aids in developing mathematical literacy and language skills, while alphanumeric codes form the basis of digital communication, error detection, and data storage in modern computing and information theory. The cognitive aspects of alphanumeric processing are also investigated, linking it to improved memory retention, problem-solving abilities, and efficient processing of complex information. The research synthesizes findings from linguistics, mathematics, computer science, and cognitive psychology, emphasizing the importance of the synergistic relationship between numbers and alphabets. The results offer insights into optimizing educational strategies, enhancing technological applications, and understanding cognitive processing mechanisms. The study elucidates the inherent interconnectedness of numerical and alphabetical systems and lays the groundwork for future innovations leveraging their combined potential.

Introduction

Numbers and alphabets synergize to bridge the divide between humanities and science. They are used in a variety of application including computer programming, data entry, advert, branding, classification, dating, naming, commerce, politics, referencing and text messaging. There are a number of different encoding standards in use. Numbers and alphabets come in a variety of

types: Uppercase letters (A-Z), Lowercase letter (a-z), Numbers (0-9), symbols: £, $, %, >, !, *,. Alphanumeric characters are used to represent text, data, text messaging, data entry and command in computer programmes.

A crucial aspect of human communication, intellect, and technology is the interaction between alphabets and numbers. The combination of numerical and alphabetical systems has been an important factor in the evolution of sophisticated languages, scientific discoveries, and technological developments throughout the course of human history. In order to investigate the synergistic impact of numbers and alphabets, the purpose of this research is to concentrate on the ways in which the utilisation of both of these elements together improves a variety of cognitive, educational, and practical functions. The historical circumstances provide insight on the linked roles that numbers and alphabets have played in the development of human civilization. In order to assist commerce, record-keeping, and scientific investigation, ancient societies such as the Egyptians, Greeks, and Romans devised numeral systems in addition to their own alphabets. The Roman numerals system demonstrates an early integration of letters and numbers for administrative and commercial reasons, while the employment of alphabets in combination with numbers in mathematical treatises lay the framework for contemporary scientific notation and algebra.

In order to improve brain function, cognitive aspects indicate a profound interconnectedness. The findings of research conducted in the field of cognitive psychology imply that the brain processes numerical and alphabetical information in neural networks that overlap. This suggests that there is a synergistic link between these two types of information, which helps with memory, learning, and solve problems. In educational contexts, where the employment of alphanumeric symbols helps to foster the development of both mathematical literacy and language abilities, this synergy is especially abundantly clear. Because alphanumeric codes serve as the basis for current digital systems, such as computer programming, data encryption, and error detection algorithms, technological applications are of the utmost importance here. As a result of the complementary relationship between numbers and alphabets, it is possible to encode and retrieve information in an effective manner, which is essential for the operation of computers, cellphones, and the internet. It is common for successful teaching methodologies to use alphanumeric integration in order to improve learning results. The educational implications of the synergistic impact of numbers and alphabets are significant. A complete knowledge of the synergistic impact of numbers and alphabets is the goal of this project. It is hoped that this understanding will give useful insights that may be used to improve educational procedures, enhance technology applications, and better our understanding of cognitive processing processes.

Since the emergence of Computer-Mediated Communication (CMC) in the 1980s, there has been an increasing body of scholarship on digital technologies that enable private and public interaction among individuals and groups on various applications and platforms (Feyi, 2017:93). Some scholars (Crag, 2003; Nweze, 2013) assert that electronic communication is corrupting the grammar of language. But the likes of (Crystal, 2006; Herring, 2012; Thurrairaj, Hoon, Roy & Fong; 2015) consider the various linguistic conventions peculiar to online communication

(such as deletion, word order violation, contractions, abbreviations, acronyms, compounding, blending, clippings, lack of punctuation, non-standard forms etc.) to be grammatical innovations. This paper shall attempt an analysis of the synergy between numbers and alphabets (Feyi, 2017).

Statement of Research Problem

Numerous works have been carried out on the morphological processes of English language but little or none has been said nor recognition given to words that emerged as a result of the combination of words and alphabets – A4, 9JA, 2CU, 3CM, COVID-19, F9, 4WD, AFCON2024 e.t.c. Due to the dynamic nature of language and the huge usage of such words in our day-to-day conversations, the research shall attempt to assert synergy as an emerging word formation process that should be officially recognized.

Objectives of the Study

The main aim of the study is to analyze the synergistic relationship between alphabets and numbers in new media conversations. The objectives of the study are:

i. To identify the relationship that exit between alphabets and numbers in conversation.
ii. To identify if alphanumeric characters that can be used for: advert, branding, classification... e.t.c.?

Research Questions

The paper will attempt to provide answers to the questions listed below:

i. Are there exemplified relationship between numbers and alphabets in online conversations?
ii. Are alphanumeric characters used for different purposes: advert, branding, classification… e.t.c?

Review of Related Literature

The secret of human connections is in language. The reason for this is because language is essential for the existence of interpersonal connections among humans on Earth. Therefore, studying language and communication is undeniably a priceless way to help others with their communication problems. The "primary mode of communication for human beings" is language, according to Trager (1964:274). Lyons (1970) defines language as the primary means of communication used by certain social groupings within a given linguistic community. Humans communicate as members of a social group and participants in its culture via a system of customary spoken or written symbols, according to Encyclopaedia Britannica, quoted in Syal (2001). According to Sapir (1921:8), "language is a purely human and non-instinctive method of communicating ideas, emotions and desires through a system of voluntarily produced symbols." Others agree with this notion; for example, Derbyshire (1967) argues that language is undeniably a medium of human communication. Articulatory, methodical, symbolic, and essentially arbitrary, it is mostly vocal sounds. According to Fox (1991), people often rely on language as their primary way of conveying ideas and concepts.

Another way to describe language is that it is non-verbal. Language, according to Emenanjo (1997), is any system of codes used for communicating, concealing, or transforming data. Sounds, symbols, signs (including silence), operational signals, or unexpected and comprehensible combinations might all be used as the mode or medium. The idea that language is a system of shared signs utilised for communication by a whole society is shared by Gimson (1980). Language, according to Block and Trager (1942), is a system of meaningless spoken symbols that allow a community to work together. Some people think of language as both an institution and a system. According to Hall (1969),

language is the system through which people engage and communicate with one another via the employment of commonly used auditory-oral symbols. According to Wardaugh (2008), the human language is nothing more than a set of meaningless voice symbols. A collection of finite or infinite sentences, each made up of an infinite set of constituents, according to Chomsky (1957).

The idea that language is fundamentally a product of society is based on Halliday's (1995) definition, which states, "language is a system of meanings." Or, put another way, a writer's goal might be "woven" into language. The sort of language individuals choose to convey meaning is often impacted by the intricate details of the circumstances in which they find themselves (ibid). People who possess phones express themselves using alphanumeric characters.

According to Feyi (2017), interest in computer-mediated communication peaked in the 1980s, and since then, there has been an increasing amount of research on the topic of how digital media affect linguistic shifts. Both Crystal (2001/2006) and Herring (2012) provided a synopsis of the language features of different types of online media, looking at how each type of media's language conventions differ from both real-life speech and more conventional written forms. According to Herring (2012), the conventional understanding of grammar as it pertains to spoken language has to be rethought in light of electronic language. There are no hard and fast rules for computer-mediated communication, and its patterns change depending on the technology at hand and the specifics of each given circumstance.

Communication

The Latin roots of the English term "communicare" (to form a society of commoners or to share) and "communis" (common) give rise to the English word "communication." In a group setting, communication entails conveying, disseminating, and exchanging ideas and information. For the purposes of command, teaching, play, etc., two or more communicators may use a common coding system called a language to convey and exchange information. While not all coding systems possess all the characteristics of a language, even the most basic ones have certain similarities with human speech. Both natural and artificial coding systems exist. Artificial languages that are partially based on human language are known as computer languages.

Learning to distinguish between speech and other forms of communication is among the most difficult things to do. "language functions as a medium of exchange" (Lyons1977:32). It is extremely difficult to discuss language without including the concept of communication, according to Omamor (2003), quoted in Amodu (2010). One of the main ways in which humans differ from other animals is our ability to communicate, which involves passing on various forms of information from one person or entity to another. Still, the voice uses the air and vocal organs to convey the information. This could also be achieved by visually appealing to certain types of men At their core, human communication relies on signals that are consistent, highly structured, and systematic. These signals and the information they convey are often shared by a discernible community of speakers and guided by well-established, verifiable conventions. Sills (1972) observes that communication is the flow of information via conveying more or less significant symbols from one person to another, from one group or representative of a group to another. The word communication connotes sharing, meeting of minds, coming about of a standard set of symbols in the thoughts of a participant resulting in a participation process, in

shared response(s). According to Breen and Candlin (1980), Morrow (1977), and Widdowson (1978), communication is defined as the process by which at least two people convey and negotiate information using symbols, both verbal and nonverbal, visual modes, production and comprehension processes, and oral and written forms of expression. Alphanumeric characters allow the writer to convey meaning to the intended readers without using words. All of the writer's innermost ideas, beliefs, perceptions, and even their degree of education are conveyed in this form.

When it comes to alternative forms of human communication, Trager's stance is in agreement with that of Detteur (1970:90), who argues that words alone are insufficient. Detteur (1970:90), cited in Amodu (2010), asserts that: It is no exaggeration to say that the human communicative act, proceeding on the significant symbol is a prerequisite ability without which it would not be possible for a man to have developed his societies and culture to the elaborate degree that he has. Without this facility, it is hard to conceive of a human society functioning at all. The social process as a whole relies on the communicative act for its expression, allocation, coordination, and manifestation of norms, expectations, and roles. Human civilization would crumble in the absence of this kind of influence exchange. It is just as reasonable to assume that the individual's role in the linguistic community is crucial to understanding this psychological aspect. He can't do many things—manipulate meanings from ideas about himself, think about an issue, feel emotions, understand a principle, make plans, learn from his mistakes, and so on—without mastering the usage of symbols and their related internal meanings.

Similarly, Haley et al. (1963:30) posits that information is never fully formed or fixed; rather, it is continually evolving and being conditioned by variables like information, the communication context, language choice, and non-verbal behaviour. In order to determine the efficacy of a particular communication function, Blum-Kulka (1980:21) differentiates between pragmatic rules, social appropriateness rules, and linguistic-realization rules. These three kinds of rules interact with one another. For a certain communication function to take place, he says, certain pragmatic norms must be followed. For instance, in order to issue a command, one must have the authority to do so. Rules of social appropriateness address the degree to which a certain function—like asking a stranger about his income—would normally be communicated.

Linguistic-realization principles incorporate various concerns, such as the frequency with which a given grammatical form transmits a particular function, the quantity and structural range of forms across functions and contexts, and the ways of altering the attitudinal tone of a given function. Communication in this research borders on how phone owners communicate messages using alphanumeric on the new media. Thus, the social function of the alphabetic is vital to this work. The research reveals insights on the complicated nature and structure of alphanumeric, especially on the new medium.

The Concept of Alphanumeric

The term alphanumeric is a portmanteau of the Greek words 'alpha' (letter) and 'numerus' (number). It is sometimes also referred to as 'alphameric'. The history of alphanumeric characters dates back to the early days of computing in the early 1960s, the American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII) was developed. Alphanumeric characters are simply combinations of numbers 0 - 9, the letters A - Z (both uppercase and lowercase), and some common symbols such as punctuation marks,

mathematical operators etc. Computer keyboards, numeric keyboards, barcodes, credit card numbers, email addresses, social media handles, text messages, scientific notation, mathematical formulas, branding, dating, advertisements, book titles, passwords, file numbers, object sorting, and countless more uses. Alphanumeric characters are essential and ubiquitous tools with a wide range of potential applications. The shared notation between languages and numbers inevitably gave rise to some mathematical and linguistic practices that are fundamentally different from how we understand "number" today; these are more properly described as alphanumeric. This work aims at a broader semiotics of writing by reimagining the alphabet as more than a visual device—it is a tightly knit integration of phonetic, graphic, and numerical values that, when combined, determine its extent of applications in other fields—a practice that has its roots in a slightly different perception of the boundaries between letters and numbers. Because of this, the work encompasses not only mathematics and grammar but also prosody and phonetics as well as calligraphy, creating a synthesis that could be described as "alphanumeric cosmology."

Morphology

In the nineteenth century, the Greek word morph, meaning shape, was the source of the English word morphology. According to Crystal (1985:216), morphology is the subfield of grammar that investigates the construction of words. According to Tomori (1977:21), morphology is "the systematic study of morphemes or how morphemes join to form words." Syal (2001:59) argues that morphology is more broadly defined as "the study of the rules governing the formation of words in a language." According to Matthew (1974:74), morphology is the subfield of linguistics that studies word shapes in various contexts and

applications. The majority of linguists believe that morphology is the study of morphemes, the meaningful components of words, according to the Encyclopaedia of Language and Linguistics (1994:2058). The field known as morphology studies how morphemes are arranged to generate words (Nida, 1949:1). "The branch of grammar which studies the structure of words" is what morphology is, says Crystal (1996:296). According to Akalugo (1998:48), morphology is the study of how words are generated and evolved into sentences in any particular language, and it includes both free, derivational, and inflectional morphemes. Additional information she provides is that morphology pertains to the examination of the internal structure of words. Spencer (1988), cited in Adeniyi (2012:59), says that Morphology is the study of the structure of words, and of the ways in which their structures reflect their relation to other words, both within some larger construction such as a sentence and across the total vocabulary of the language. He common feature to the above definitions is 'the structure of words'. The definitions see Morphology as the study of the structure of words. Meta conversations are already paving way for brand new structure.

Hapelmath (2002) is not pleased with the concept of morphology as the 'structure of words' since to him words have structures in two separate sense: that structure is first made up of sequences of sound that is, they have internal phonological structures. For example, the word 'nuts' consists of four sounds; /n/u/t/s/. In terms of the second point, morphological analysis usually involves determining which words or portions of words are being studied. For instance, 'nuts' consists of two constituents: The element 'nut' and 's' He derived two definitions of Morphology from this: first, as "the study of systematic covariation in the form and meaning of 'word',"

and second, as "the study of the combination of morphemes to yield words."

Morphology is a branch of language study concerned with the grammatical study of word formations. In morphology, the word and the morpheme are the fundamental building blocks. According to Syal and Jindal (2001), morphology is the study of how words are formed by the combination of sounds into morphemes, which are the smallest unique units of meaning.

To tackle research issues, an eclectic framework was used. Insights from Internet Linguistics and Cryptography will be adopted. A subfield of computer-mediated communication (CMC), internet linguistics studies the language used in all contexts of online contact, such as email, chat rooms, web pages, instant messaging, and gaming. Crystal (2004:7-8) emphasises that the distinguishing traits of a linguistic variation are of various sorts. Cryptography is the study of utilising mathematics to encrypt and decode data, it is also the capacity to transfer information between individuals, in a manner that prohibits others from reading it.

Methodology

The research attempt to assert that there is a strong relationship between word and alphabets at varying degrees. Sampled data were collected from social media conversations and further analyzed. Tables were adopted for the analysis of data.Data Presentation

Table 1. Transposition Technique: is an alteration of letters in the plaintext and is a response to the research question to show that alphanumeric have patterns.

Plaintexts No. 1	Cipher Text	Substitution and Transposition Techniques			Conversational Usage	Type of Cipher
		Attached Numeric	Substituted Text	Derived Text		
Too	2	2	Too	2	2Sure, 2big, 2large, 2much,	The process adopted transposition or shift technique which has to do with the alteration of the alphabets in the plaintext.
Two	2	2	Two	2	2days, 2boys 2times, 2stars 2CU	
To	2	2	To	2	2moro, 2geda, 2go, 2day, 2IC	
Four Runner	4	4	Four	4	4Runner, Forget, 4ever, 4in, 4gettable, 4bit	
Five	5	5	Five	5	5Alive, 5ba, 5stars, 5in	
Six	6	6	Six	6	6gbt, 6ft, 6D, 6G, 6pm, 6am,	
Nine	9	9	Nine	9	9ja, 9cm, 9mobile, 9f, 9ft	

KEY: *Text: Any form of a written material.*

Plaintext: A conventional, easy to see or understood form of a written materials.

Cipher text: Is a secret or disguised way of writing; a code.

Transposition cipher: Is an alteration or replacement of plaintext replaced number or Symbol.

Analysis of Table 1

The researcher discovered that a number(s) or symbol can be replaced with a whole word. This can be seen words like: e.g., two -2 , too -2, all numbers from zero to infinity if written in words can be replaced with a number. The data in Table 1 reveals that numbers or symbols can effectively replace entire words in a plaintext, demonstrating a transposition technique. This can be observed with words like "two" being replaced by "2," and similarly, "too" also replaced by "2." The substitution patterns are consistent across different numbers, from "zero" to "infinity" if written in words, showing that alphanumeric representations can be systematically used in place of words. This pattern not only simplifies communication in certain contexts (like text messaging) but also highlights the inherent synergy between numbers and alphabets in encoding information efficiently. This study's findings suggest that the use of alphanumeric patterns is a practical method for condensing information and creating easily recognizable codes. The implications are significant for fields such as cryptography, digital communication, and education, where such techniques can enhance both security and learning efficiency.

Table 2. Substitution Techniques: Is a way of replacing specific text with a number and is a response to the research question two to show that alphanumeric have patterns.

Plaintexts No. 1	Cipher Text	Substitution and Transposition Techniques			Conversational Usage	Type of Cipher
		Attached Numeric	Substituted Text	Derived Text		
Second	2nd	2	Second	2nd	2nd - Dating	The process adopted substitution techniques is a way of replacing specific text with a number in the plaintext.
Second	2nd	2	Second	2nd	2nd – Position	
Three Pm	3pm	3	Three	3pm	3pm – Time	
BMW X3	X3	3	BMW X3	X3	X3- Branding	
Two Credit Units	2cu	2	2 Credit Units		2cu – Grading	
Sixty Miles	60mls	60	Sixty mile	6omls	60mls – Quantifying	
Too Sure	2sure	2	Too sure	2sure	2sure - Advert	

Analysis of Table 2

A considerable impact on human civilization has been made by the combination of alphabets and numbers, which has had an effect on communication, technology, and education. The synergistic impact of numbers and alphabets is investigated in this article, with a focus on how the combination of these two elements improves a variety of functions and applications. Numbers and alphabets have been used together throughout history to create a variety of complicated languages and systems.

This has been a significant achievement. For the sake of commerce, record-keeping, and scientific investigation, ancient civilizations such as the Egyptians, Greeks, and Romans created numerical and alphabetical systems. In educational settings, where the development of mathematical literacy and language skills is supported by the use of alphanumeric symbols, research in cognitive psychology indicates that the brain processes numerical and alphabetical information in neural networks that overlap. This allows the brain to assist in memory, learning, and problem-solving. The idea of cognitive load also proposes that mixing letters and numbers is an effective way to optimise cognitive processing since it distributes mental work over a variety of formats.

The incorporation of alphabets and numbers is of the utmost importance in the field of tech. Error detection algorithms, computer programming, and data encryption are all examples of current digital systems that are built on the foundation of alphabetic and numeric codes. In order to ease electronic communication between devices, for example, the American Standard Code for Information Interchange (ASCII) makes use of numerical representations of letters. In order for computers, cellphones, and the internet to work properly, it is necessary to have efficient data encoding and retrieval capabilities, which are made possible by this coordination. The pedagogical ramifications of the synergistic impact that numbers and alphabets have on one another are quite comprehensive. The combination of alphabetic and numeric elements is often used in effective instructional tactics in order to improve learning results. To boost memory recall in a broad variety of disciplines, including history and mathematics, for instance, mnemonics that mix letters and numbers are extensively utilised. A comprehensive comprehension of difficult

subjects may be fostered via the use of interdisciplinary techniques that combine language and numerical training. This, in turn, leads to an improvement in overall academic performance.

The purpose of this research is to investigate the historical and contemporary applications of alphanumeric integration, to investigate the cognitive mechanisms that underpin the synergistic processing of numbers and alphabets, to investigate the role that alphanumeric systems play in technological advancements and digital communication, and to investigate the educational benefits that can be gained from incorporating alphanumeric strategies into an educational setting. At the end of the day, the interaction between alphabets and numbers is a fundamental component of human understanding and the advancement of technology. It is possible that substantial improvements in education, technology, and cognitive research might result from the recognition and use of their synergistic influence. As a result of this research, the multifarious advantages of integrating alphabetic and numeric elements are brought to light, so opening the way for future inventions that capitalise on the combined potential of these elements.

Conclusion

Worthy of note, is the fact that there is divergent view on how digital communication technologies are affecting language. While some have described Computer Mediated Communication (CMC) as the continuing assault of technology on formal English (Lee 2002) and language purists view the use of non-standard orthography, typography, clipping, blending, acronyms as misspellings or errors (Thurlow, 2006), others sees it as a medium that has brought about new ways of using graphic features. Craig (2003) and Nweze

(2013) opine that electronic communication is corrupting the grammar of language in general, others says, the various linguistic conventions peculiar to online communication are grammatical innovations (Crystal, 2001/2006; Herring, 2012 and Thurairaj, *et al*, 2015). Computer Mediated Communication only but gave expression to growth in linguistics studies beyond conventions cited in (Feyi, 2017). As such we can now appreciate the synergistic relationship between alphabets and numbers that bridges the gap between humanities and sciences.

Recommendations

Based on the findings and analysis of the synergistic effect of numbers and alphabets, several recommendations can be made to enhance the application of alphanumeric integration in various fields, including education, technology, and communication. These recommendations aim to maximize the benefits of this synergy and address any potential challenges.

Educational Strategies: Incorporate interactive learning tools that integrate numbers and alphabets. Use alphanumeric mnemonics and memory aids in the curriculum. Design cross-disciplinary teaching approaches that blend mathematical and linguistic instruction. Implement project-based learning where students apply alphanumeric skills to real-world problems.

Technological Enhancements: Develop advanced alphanumeric algorithms for enhanced security. Enhance error detection and correction technologies using predictable patterns in alphanumeric codes. Standardize alphanumeric codes across different technologies and platforms. Design user-friendly interfaces that use alphanumeric shortcuts.

Cognitive and Psychological Approaches: Conduct further research on cognitive benefits of alphanumeric integration. Investigate the long-term effects of alphanumeric integration on memory retention and learning outcomes. Develop adaptive learning systems that use alphanumeric patterns to tailor educational content to individual learning styles. Implement feedback mechanisms that use alphanumeric codes for instant, personalized feedback.

Communication and Marketing: Enhance marketing strategies by using alphanumeric patterns in branding and marketing. Leverage alphanumeric codes in digital advertising campaigns to create catchy and concise messages. Streamline professional communication by adopting alphanumeric shortcuts and codes in business communication. Standardize the use of alphanumeric patterns in technical documentation to improve readability and comprehension.

References

Amodu, E. J. (2010*). A content analysis of presupposition and overstatement in the interpretivelanguage use of selected advertisement of the Nigeria print media*. An Unpublished Ph.D Thesis Submitted to Ahmadu Bello University, Zaria.

Berger, R. C., Seven, H. & Chaffee, H. D. (1987). Handbook of communication science. London: Sage Publications Inc.

Block & Trager, G. (1942) *Outline of linguistics analysis.* Waverly: Press.

Borlinger, (1968). *Aspects of language*. USA: Harcourt Brace and World Incorporation.

Breen, M. & Cardlin, C. N. (1980). *The essentials of communication curriculum in language teaching: Applied linguistics*. London: Edward Arnod Publishers.

Buffardi, L. & Campbell, W. (2008). Narcissism and social networking websites. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, 34*(10), 1303-1314.

Cheung, C.M.K. & Lee, M.K.O. (2010) A theoretical model of intentional social action in online social networks. *Decision Support Systems, 49*(1), 24-30.

Chiluwa, I. (2007). The Nigerians of SMS Text-Messages in English. *Journal of the Nigerian English Studies Association, 3*(1)

Chou, H. & Edge, N. (2012). They are happier and having better lives than I am: The impact of using Facebook on perceptions of others' lives. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 15*(2), 117-121.

Correa, T., Hinsley, A. & de Zuniga, H. (2010). Who interacts on the web? The intersection of users' personality and social media use. *Computers in Human Behavior, 26*(2), 247-253.

Crystal, D. (2001/2006). *Language and the internet*. Cambridge. UK: Cambridge University Press.

Craig, D. (2003). "Instant Messaging: The Language of Youth Literacy." The Boothe Prize Essays 2003, pp 118-119.

Dada, A. (2008). *Language use and communication artifacts in GSM Adverts*. Nigeria: Ado Ekiti Press.

Derbyshire, A. E. (1957*). A Description of English*. New Delhi: Armold Heinemann.

Detteur, M. (1970). *Theories of Mass Communication.* New York: David Mckay Company.

Facebook (2012a). *Four degrees of separation*. Available at: http://arxiv.org/pdf/1111.4570v3.pdf (accessed 6 April 2012).

Facebook (2012b) Statistics. Available at: http://www.facebook.com/press/info.php?statistics (accessed 6 April 2012).

Feyi, A. (2017). The Morpho-Syntactic Patterns of English Usage in the New Media: The Case of Selected WhatsAPP Messages

Fromkin, V. *et al*. (1968). *An introduction to language*. New York, Hold Richard and Winston.

Gimson, A.C. (1980). *An introduction to the pronunciation of English*. London: Edward Arnold.

Hall, R. A. Jr. (1969). *Introductory linguistics.* Delhi: Motialal Banarsidas.

Hargittai, E. (2007). Whose space? Differences among users and non-users of social networking sites. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 13*(1), 276-297.

Herring, S. C. (2001). "Computer-Mediated Discourse." In D. Schiffrin, D. Tannem, & H. Hamilton (Eds.), *The handbook of discourse analysis* (pp.612-634). Oxford, UK: Blackwell.

Herring, S. C. (2012). "Grammar and Electronic Communication". In C. Chapelle (Ed). *Encyclopedia of applied linguistics*. Wiley-Blackwell.

Holmes, J. (2001). *An introduction to sociolinguistics* (3rd Ed.). Pearson Education Ltd.

Hornby, A. S. (2000). *Oxford advanced learner's dictionary* (6th Ed.) Oxford: University Press.

Kujath, C. (2011). Facebook and myspace: complement or substitute to face-to-face interaction? *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 14*(1-2), 75-78.

Kwon, O. & Wen, Y. (2010). An empirical study of the factors affecting social network service use. *Computers in Human Behavior, 26*(2), 254-263.

Le, T. T. & Tarafdar, M. (2009). Business ecosystem perspective on value co-creation in theWeb 2.0 era: implications for entrepreneurial opportunities. *International Journal of Entrepreneurial Venturing, 1*(2), 112-130.

Lewis, K., Kaufman, J. & Christakis, N. (2008). The taste for privacy: an analysis of college student privacy settings in an online social network. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 14*(1), 79.

Lyons, J. (1977*). Semantics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Lyons, J. (1981). *Language and linguistics: An introduction*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Manago, A., Taylor, T. & Greenfield, P. (2012). Me and my 400 friends: the anatomy of college students' Facebook networks, their communication patterns, and well-being. *Developmental Psychology, 48*(2), 369-380.

Murphy, J .D. (2007). *English Grammar*. Nigeria: Lagos Surulere,

Nweze, I. M. (2013). SMS Texting Among GSM Users in Nigeria: a Morphpo-Syntatic Analysis. *Research on Humanities and Social Science, 3*(15).

Omamor, L. (2003). *Inaugural lecture series.* Nigeria: University of Ibadan.

Opera, C. (2001). *English and effective communication.* Portharcourt: Pearl Publishers.

Orr, E., Ross, C., Simmering, M., *et al*. (2009) The influence of shyness on the use of Facebook in an undergraduate sample. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior, 12*(3), 337-340.

Palmer, F. R. (1996*). Semantics.* Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.

Quirk, R. *et al*. (1971). *A university of grammar of English Language*. London: Longman.

Quirk, R. *et al*. (1993). *A comprehensive grammar of English Language*. London: Longman.

Raacke, J. & Bonds-Raacke, J. (2008). Myspace and facebook: applying the uses and gratifications theory to exploring friend-networking sites. *Cyberpsychology & Behavior, 11*(2), 169-174.

Reich,S., Subrahmanyam, K. & Espinoza, G. (2012). Friending, IMing, and hanging out face-to face: overlap in adolescents' online and offline social networks. *Developmental Psychology 48*(2), 356-368.

Ross, C., Orr, E., Sisic, M., *et al*. (2009). Personality and motivations associated with Facebook use. *Computers in Human Behavior, 25*(2), 578-586.

Rotimi, T. (2010). The thumb tribe: Creativity and social change through SMS in Nigeria. Nigeria: Obafemi Awolowo University Press.

Skageby, J. (2009). Exploring qualitative sharing practices of social metadata: expanding the attention economy. *Information Society, 25*(1), 60-72.

Sledgianowski D and Kulviwat S (2009) Using social network sites: the effects of playfulness, critical mass and trust in a hedonic context. *Journal of Computer Information Systems 49*(4), 74-83.

Stroud, D. (2008). Social networking: an age neutral commodity – social networking becomes a mature web application. *Journal of Direct, Data and Digital Marketing Practice, 9*, 278-292.

Subrahmanyam, K., Reich, S. M., Waechter, N., *et al*. (2008). Online and offline social networks: use of social networking sites by emerging

adults. *Journal of Applied Developmental Psychology, 29*(6), 420-433.

Syal, P. & Jindal, D.V. (1998). *An introduction to grammar and semantics*. New Delhi Prentice Hall of India Private Ltd.

Syal, P. & Jindal, D. V. (2001). *An introduction to linguistics: Lay, gram and semantics*. New Delhi: Prentice-Hall of India Private Ltd.

Taylor, L., McMinn, M., Bufford, R., *et al.* (2010). Psychologists' attitudes and ethical concerns regarding the use of social networking websites. *Professional Psychology: Research and Practice, 41*(2), 153-159.

Tomori, S. H. O. (2004). *The morphology and syntax of present day English: An introduction*. Ibadan Jumax: Nigeria Ltd.

Tong, S., Van Der Heide, B. & Langwell, L., *et al.* (2008). Too much of a good thing? The relationship between number of friends and interpersonal impressions on Facebook. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 13*(3), 531-549.

Thurairaj, S., Hoon, E. P., Roy, S. S. & Fong, P. W. (2015). Reflections of students language usage in social networking sites: Making or marring academic English. *Electronic Journal of e-Learning, 13*(4), 302-315.

Utz, S. (2010). Show me your friends and I will tell you what type of person you are: how one's profile, number of friends, and type of friends influence impression formation on social network sites. *Journal of Computer-Mediated Communication, 15*(2), 314-335.

Wallwork, J. F. (1969). *Language and linguistic*. London: Heinman Educational Books.

Wardhaugh, R. (1986). *An introduction to social-linguistics*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers.

Wang, H. & Wellman, B. (2010). Social connectivity in America: changes in adult friendship network size from 2002 to 2007.

American Behavioral Scientist, 53(8), 1148-1169.

Wang, S. S., Shin-il, M., Kwon, K. H., *et al.* (2010). Face off: implications of visual cues on initiating friendship on Facebook. *Computers in Human Behavior, 26*(2), 226-234.

Wilson, K., Fornasier, S. & White, K. (2010). Psychological predictors of young adults' use of social networking sites. *Cyberpsychology, Behavior, and Social Networking, 13*(2), 173-177.

Widdowson, H. (1975). *Stylistics and the teaching of literature.* London: Longman.

Widdowson, H. G. (1978). *Teaching language as communication*. London: Oxford University Press.

Yule, G. (1996). *The study of language*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.